



Weight-length relationship of 53 species of fish associated to artisanal trawl fisheries in the southern Brazil

GERMANO HENRIQUE COSTA BARRILLI¹, JULIA GOMES DO VALE¹, JOAQUIM OLINTO BRANCO² & JOSÉ ROBERTO VERANI³

¹ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Recursos Naturais, Universidade Federal de São Carlos; Rodovia Washington Luis, Km 235, CP 676, São Carlos, SP, Brazil.

² Universidade do Vale do Itajaí - Centro de Ciências Tecnológicas da Terra e do Mar, CTTMar; Rua: Uruguai, 458, CP 360, Itajaí, SC.

³ Departamento de Hidrobiologia, Universidade Federal de São Carlos; Rodovia Washington Luis, Km 235, CP 676, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

*Corresponding author: germanohcb@gmail.com

Abstract. The weight-length relationships of 53 species of the southern region of Brazil are presented and contribute to the knowledge of the ichthyofauna of the South American coast. Monthly samplings were carried out, through experimental trawls, in areas of action of artisanal shrimp fishing. A total of 5699 specimens belonging to 26 families and 53 species were examined. Significant length-weight relationships for the species were found, most of them characterized as a positive allometric growth, followed by negative allometric and isometric. The species *Chirocentron bleekermanus*, *Urophycis brasiliensis* and *Stellifer brasiliensis* presented their maximum lengths higher than those currently recorded in FishBase. This study represents the first report of the length-weight relationships in trawl areas in Santa Catarina, southern Brazil

Key words: Monitoring, Shrimp, Growth, Allometry, Ichthyofauna

Resumo. Relação peso-comprimento de 53 espécies de peixes associadas à pesca artesanal de arrasto no sul do Brasil. A relação peso-comprimento de 53 espécies da região sul do Brasil é apresentada e contribui para o conhecimento da ictiofauna da costa sul-americana. Amostragens mensais foram realizadas, através de arrastos experimentais, em áreas de atuação da pesca artesanal camaroeira. Um total de 5699 exemplares pertencendo a 26 famílias e 53 espécies foram examinados. Significativas relações de peso comprimento para as espécies foram encontradas, sendo em sua maioria caracterizadas como um crescimento alométrico positivo, seguido de alométrico negativo e isométrico. As espécies *Chirocentron bleekermanus*, *Urophycis brasiliensis* e *Stellifer brasiliensis* apresentaram seus comprimentos máximos superiores aqueles registrados atualmente no FishBase. Esse estudo representa o primeiro relato das relações peso comprimento em áreas de arrasto artesanal em Santa Catarina, região sul do Brasil

Palavras-chave: Monitoramento, Camarão, Crescimento, Alometria, Ictiofauna

Introduction

The length-weight relationship is an important tool in ichthyofaunistic studies, since it generates information about the biology of the species and their stocks in the environments (Abdurahiman *et al.* 2004, Ferraz & Giarrizzo 2015)

The metrics of this relationship are considered essential elements for fishing investigations and can be used as estimates of other information involving the life history of the fish, through empirical

relations of conversion of structures of length in weight vice versa, which are fundamental in programs of fishing and environmental monitoring (Froese 2006, Albieri *et al.* 2014). In this context, the coastal environments are places favorable for the knowledge of these parameters, since these places present a high diversity of shapes and sizes, harboring important species for the future of the fishing (Lasta 1995, Solari *et al.* 2016).

However, the parameters of the weight-length relationship can vary temporally and spatially for the same species, requiring constant updates in the environments (Ismen *et al.* 2007, Ferraz & Giarrizo 2015). Thus, this study aimed to estimate the weight-length relationship of 53 most frequent fish species in a traditional shrimp fishing area in southern Brazil.

Material and Methods

The study area is located in the municipality of Penha - SC (Fig. 1), between the coordinates 26°46'0''S, 48°37'0''W and 26°46'0''S, 48°32'0''W, which is characterized by a traditional area of artisanal trawl fishing for the sea-bob shrimp, *Xiphopenaeus kroyeri* (Heller 1862). Due to the regularity and intensity with which this activity occurs, it presents great social and economic relevance in the Southeastern and south coast of the country (Branco 2005).

Monthly trawls lasting 30 minutes at depths of 10 to 30 meters, during the year 2016, were carried out using two trawls with doors, 3.0 cm mesh on the sleeve and body, 2.0 cm in the bagger, trailed by a vessel (whaling vessel) of the local fleet with an approximate velocity of two knots.

The product of each trawl was separated on board, labeled, stored in iceboxes and transported to the laboratory. The fish were identified through taxonomic keys of Figueiredo & Menezes (1978,

1980); Menezes & Figueiredo (1980, 1985) and the nomenclature of the taxa followed the international standard (FishBase 2017).

Measurements of standard length and total length (cm) were obtained, in addition to the weight (g) of each specimen. In the species *Symphurus tessellatus*, *S. plagusia*, *Gymnothorax ocellatus*, *Ophichthus gomesii* and *Trichiurus lepturus*, only weight and total length were used. The weight-length relation was adjusted by the equation $W = a.L^b$ (Le Cren, 1951), where W corresponds to weight, a curve intercept, L standard length and b, angular coefficient or allometric coefficient.

The values of the coefficient b of the weight-length relation are references for the type of growth, being it isometric type (= 3), allometric positive (> 3) or negative allometric (<3). The confidence intervals (95%) for this parameter were recorded and, through the student t test, we tested the null hypothesis for isometry (hypothetical mean = 3) through the statistical package PAST v3.15 (Hammer *et al.* 2001).

Results

A total of 5699 specimens of the accompanying ichthyofauna were analyzed in the sea-bob shrimp fishery, distributed among 53 species and 26 families. Information on the breadth, length and weight-length parameters are given in Table I.




Figure 1. Location of the study area in Penha, Santa Catarina state – southern Brazil. Gray rectangle: Sampling area.

Table I - Length- Weight relationship for 53 species of fish associated with trawling on the southern coast of Brazil. Legend: **SL** – Standard Length, **TL** – Total Length, **A+** – Positive allometry, **A-** – Negative allometry and **I** – Isometric, **t** – student t test and ***** – significant ($p < 0.05$). Bold numbers - New maximum size when compared to FishBase data (2017).

Family/ Species	N	Weight(g) min – max	SL(cm) min - max	TL(cm) min - max	Relationship Parameters					
					a	b	b (CI 95%)	r ²	t (≠ 3)	Growth
Achiridae										
<i>Achirus declivis</i> Chabanaud, 1940	15	8.65 - 28.76	6.1 - 8.5	8.1 - 11.0	0.034	3.11	3.06 - 3.12	0.90	5.86 *	A+
<i>Achirus lineatus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	15	8.65 - 28.76	6.1 - 8.5	8.1 - 11.0	0.034	3.11	3.06 - 3.15	0.90	5.44 *	A+
Ariidae										
<i>Genidens genidens</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	15	17.65 - 398.6	10.5 - 28.0	13.7 - 34.2	0.0133	3.10	3.08 - 3.12	0.98	11.33 *	A+
Batrachoididae										
<i>Porichthys porosissimus</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	20	1.54 - 8.31	5.0 - 8.4	6.20 - 9.6	0.018	2.79	2.77 - 2.82	0.95	-19.15 *	A-
Carangidae										
<i>Chloroscombrus chrysurus</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)	15	4.92 - 19.15	6.5 - 9.9	8.3 - 12.8	0.016	3.09	3.06 - 3.11	0.96	7.34 *	A+
<i>Selene setapinnis</i> (Mitchill, 1815)	15	0.72 - 17.93	2.3 - 8.8	3.5 - 11.2	0.046	2.73	2.63 - 2.86	0.96	4.79 *	A-
Clupeidae										
<i>Chirocentrodon bleekermanus</i> (Poey, 1867)	157	0.68 - 11.22	4.4 - 10.4	5.4 - 12.1	0.0044	3.39	3.38 - 3.40	0.92	102.9 *	A+
Cynoglossidae										
<i>Symphurus tessellatus</i> (Quoy & Gaimard, 1824)	40	2.83 - 76.83	-	7.7 - 21.4	0.0046	3.19	3.18 - 3.20	0.99	36.84 *	A+
<i>Symphurus plagusia</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	25	2.90 - 77.90	-	7.8 - 22.0	0.0041	3.23	3.22 - 3.24	0.98	39.00 *	A+
Dactylopteridae										
<i>Dactylopterus volitans</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	495	2.70 - 53.48	4.4 - 14.2	5.90 - 17.7	0.0167	3.08	3.07 - 3.08	0.94	33.43 *	A+
Diodontidae										
<i>Chilomycterus spinosus spinosus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	220	11.39 - 383.0	4.0 - 18.0	5.0 - 20.0	0.3203	2.44	2.42 - 2.45	0.90	-76.53 *	A-
Engraulidae										
<i>Lycengraulis grossidens</i> (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)	20	1.35 - 23.4	5.0 - 12.5	6.0 - 14.8	0.0103	3.09	3.07 - 3.11	0.99	8.99 *	A+
Gerreidae										
<i>Diapterus rhombeus</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	12	45.0 - 135.12	10.7 - 15.4	14.8 - 20.5	0.049	2.89	2.88 - 2.91	0.97	-18.29 *	A+
<i>Eucinostomus melanopterus</i> (Bleeker, 1863)	10	28.95 - 134.59	10.0 - 16.2	13.2 - 20.5	0.016	3.24	3.20 - 3.28	0.96	15.63 *	A+
Haemulidae										
<i>Orthopristis ruber</i> (Cuvier, 1830)	31	13.70 - 208.92	7.9 - 21.5	10.0 - 25.5	0.0448	2.82	2.81 - 2.84	0.98	-22.98 *	A-
Monacanthidae										
<i>Stephanolepis hispidus</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)	257	0.66 - 70.91	2.5 - 13.0	3.2 - 16.2	0.0542	2.83	2.82 - 2.84	0.98	-52.08 *	A-
Mullidae										
<i>Upeneus parvus</i> (Poey, 1852)	77	0.73 - 20.70	3.3 - 9.3	4.3 - 11.6	0.0153	3.26	3.25 - 3.28	0.94	36.56 *	A+
Muraenidae										
<i>Gymnothorax ocellatus</i> Agassiz, 1831	15	60.04 - 220.87	-	34.0 - 49.0	0.0003	3.44	3.42 - 3.45	0.97	67.24 *	A+
Ophichthidae										
<i>Ophichthus gomesii</i> (Castelnau, 1855)	15	60.27 - 124.52	-	39.7 - 60.5	0.0698	1.83	1.82 - 1.84	0.91	-295.7 *	A-

Family/ Species	N	Weight(g) min – max	SL(cm) min - max	TL(cm) min - max	Relationship Parameters					Growth
					a	b	b (CI 95%)	r ²	t (≠ 3)	
Paralichthyidae										
<i>Citharichthys arenaceus</i> Evermann & Marsh, 1900	58	1.65 - 48.9	4.8 - 14.4	5.9 - 17.7	0.0156	3.04	3.02 - 3.05	0.96	5.54 *	A+
<i>Citharichthys macrops</i> Dresel, 1885	30	3.01 - 42.1	3.5 - 13.5	5.0 - 16.7	0.0153	3.07	3.06 - 3.09	0.99	11.40 *	A+
<i>Citharichthys spilopterus</i> Günther, 1862	12	3.31 - 20.28	6.0 - 10.5	7.0 - 12.8	0.0092	3.24	3.21 - 3.28	0.96	15.59 *	A+
<i>Etropus crossotus</i> (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882)	65	2.77 - 50.69	5.8 - 13.7	7.0 - 16.7	0.0090	3.33	3.32 - 3.34	0.97	60.58 *	A+
<i>Etropus longimanus</i> Norman, 1933	145	0.66 - 18.71	3.7 - 10.9	4.6 - 13.6	0.0108	3.14	3.13 - 3.15	0.97	27.39 *	A+
<i>Paralichthys patagonicus</i> Jordan, 1889	53	1.28 - 382.80	4.4 - 27.6	5.5 - 33.3	0.0134	3.07	3.05 - 3.08	0.99	11.26 *	A+
<i>Syacium papillosum</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	67	12.57 - 204.56	9.0 - 22.5	11.0 - 26.5	0.0144	3.08	3.07 - 3.09	0.98	20.77 *	A+
<i>Syacium micrurum</i> Ranzani, 1842	175	0.82 - 152.28	2.0 - 22.0	3.7 - 23.0	0.0140	3.08	3.07 - 3.09	0.99	20.77 *	A+
Phyciidae										
<i>Urophycis brasiliensis</i> (Kaup, 1858)	314	0.18 - 1790.0	1.9 - 49.0	2.5 - 57.0	0.0078	3.14	3.13 - 3.16	0.98	21.20 *	A+
Pristigasteridae										
<i>Pellona harroweri</i> (Fowler, 1917)	38	0.23 - 8.35	2.7 - 8.0	3.1 - 10.5	0.0169	2.89	2.85 - 2.93	0.94	-5.56 *	A-
Sciaenidae										
<i>Ctenosciaena gracilicirrhus</i> (Metzelaar, 1919)	338	0.83 - 56.66	1.5 - 13.5	3.3 - 16.1	0.0245	3	2.99 - 3.01	0.96	1.14	I
<i>Cynoscion guatacupa</i> (Cuvier, 1830)	428	0.57 - 116.28	2.8 - 21.0	3.5 - 23.0	0.0358	2.64	2.62 - 2.64	0.93	-76.90 *	A-
<i>Isopisthus parvipinnis</i> (Cuvier, 1830)	269	0.31 - 96.13	2.7 - 17.8	3.4 - 19.0	0.0124	3.18	3.16 - 3.19	0.95	25.52 *	A+
<i>Larimus breviceps</i> Cuvier, 1830	109	0.48 - 126.39	2.9 - 15.9	3.7 - 20.5	0.0156	3.25	3.23 - 3.26	0.99	33.23 *	A+
<i>Macrodon ancylodon</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	119	1.25 - 94.02	4.2 - 17.4	5.4 - 22.1	0.0195	2.91	2.90 - 2.92	0.98	-16.58 *	A-
<i>Menticirrhus americanus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	50	3.00 - 58.10	5.5 - 14.0	7.2 - 17.7	0.0182	3.01	3.00 - 3.02	0.98	2.34 *	A+
<i>Menticirrhus litorallis</i> (Holbrook, 1847)	46	2.90 - 139.65	5.5 - 21.2	7.2 - 26.3	0.0281	2.95	2.93 - 2.96	0.98	-8.41 *	A-
<i>Micropogonias furnieri</i> (Desmarest, 1823)	95	0.61 - 81.55	2.6 - 16.2	3.8 - 20.0	0.0371	2.7	2.67 - 2.74	0.96	-17.16 *	A-
<i>Paralonchurus brasiliensis</i> (Steindachner, 1875)	666	0.08 - 130.00	1.5 - 18.8	1.9 - 23.5	0.0187	2.98	2.97 - 2.99	0.97	-3.48 *	A-
<i>Stellifer brasiliensis</i> (Schultz, 1945)	82	2.93 - 66.63	5.0 - 14.3	6.8 - 17.5	0.0220	3.06	3.06 - 3.07	0.99	17.16 *	A+
<i>Stellifer rastrifer</i> (Jordan, 1889)	341	0.78 - 150.0	1.5 - 17.5	3.5 - 21.2	0.0129	3.31	3.30 - 3.32	0.99	110.14 *	A+
<i>Stellifer stellifer</i> (Bloch, 1790)	60	12.09 - 58.42	8.1 - 12.6	10.3 - 16.1	0.0153	3.19	3.19 - 3.20	0.97	55.29 *	A+
Scorpaenidae										
<i>Scorpaena brasiliensis</i> Cuvier, 1829	10	3.5 - 24.0	4.7 - 8.3	5.8 - 10.9	0.0373	3.02	2.98 - 3.05	0.97	1.17	I
Serranidae										
<i>Diplectrum formosum</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)	25	6.06 - 190.31	6.5 - 19	8.4 - 23.2	0.0137	3.23	3.21 - 3.24	0.99	41.34 *	A+
<i>Diplectrum radiale</i> (Quoy & Gaimard, 1824)	10	5.30 - 30.11	5.5 - 9.8	7.1 - 12.2	0.0452	2.82	2.76 - 2.87	0.97	-8.02 *	A-
<i>Dules auriga</i> (Cuvier, 1829)	39	5.0 - 44.81	5.0 - 11.0	6.6 - 13.7	0.0219	3.17	3.16 - 3.19	0.97	23.23 *	A+
Stromateidae										
<i>Peprilus paru</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	16	0.15 - 29.35	1.5 - 9.0	1.8 - 12.8	0.0527	2.90	2.74 - 3.06	0.97	-1.25	I
Synodontidae										
<i>Saurida brasiliensis</i> Norman, 1935	51	1.23 - 9.27	5.1 - 10.2	6.1 - 12.2	0.0168	2.70	2.69 - 2.71	0.96	-56.38 *	A-

Family/ Species	N	Weight(g)	SL(cm)	TL(cm)	Relationship Parameters					
		min – max	min - max	min - max	a	b	b (CI 95%)	r ²	t (≠ 3)	Growth
<i>Synodus foetens</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)	56	7.50 - 96.20	12.2 - 27.2	13.7 - 31.0	0.0025	3.22	3.21 - 3.23	0.97	55.60 *	A+
<i>Synodus intermedius</i> (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)	27	47.09 - 262.53	7.0 - 17.7	8.30 - 20.50	0.0133	2.81	2.79 - 2.83	0.95	-16.22 *	A-
Tetraodontidae										
<i>Lagocephalus laevigatus</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)	10	1.88 - 25.73	3.5 - 10.0	4.5 - 12.0	0.0948	2.41	2.40 - 2.42	0.99	-93.03 *	A-
<i>Sphoeroids splengeri</i> (Bloch, 1785)	98	1.00 - 45.40	2.0 - 10.0	2.90 - 12.30	0.1152	2.44	2.40 - 2.50	0.90	-21.59 *	A-
Trichiuridae										
<i>Trichiurus lepturus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	10	0.59 - 59.12	-	12.5 - 47.5	0.0001	3.34	3.39 - 3.43	0.99	46.82 *	A+
Triglidae										
<i>Prionotus punctatus</i> (Bloch, 1793)	318	0.67 - 140.71	3.0 - 17.2	3.70 - 21.40	0.0192	3.06	3.05 - 3.07	0.98	15.01 *	A+

Among the species sampled, 62.3% presented positive allometric growth, 32.1% allometric negative and 5.6% Isometric (Table I), where the lowest and highest value recorded for the allometric coefficient occurred, respectively, in *Ophichthus gomesii* ($b = 1.83$) and *Gymnothorax ocellatus* ($b = 3.44$)

The parameters described for all species were significant ($p < 0.05$) and with the coefficient of determination (r^2) ranging from 0.90 to 0.99. With the exception of the species *Chilomycterus spinosus*, *Lagocephalus laevigatus*, *Sphoeroids splengeri* and *Ophichthus gomesii*, all others presented values of the allometric constant (b) ranging from 2.64 (*Cynoscion guatucupa*) to 3.44 (*Gymnothorax ocellatus*), showing low variability in the interspecific allometric coefficient (3.06 ± 0.19 ; CV = 3.65%).

Discussion

The richness of fish species caught in seven-beard shrimp fisheries is a consequence of low trawl selectivity (Kelleher 2005). Thus, in order to benefit from this low selectivity, the accompanying fauna or captured bycatch can be used, at first, for studies that provide information on the species that live in the fishing sites.

The allometric constants recorded for most species are in agreement with the thresholds found by Froese (2006) ranging from 2.5 to 3.5, and any value that is outside this range can be considered atypical (Ricker 1975). However, the species *Chilomycterus spinosus*, *Lagocephalus laevigatus* and *Sphoeroids splengeri* are outside this threshold, but with values close to 2.5 (2.44, 2.41 and 2.44 respectively). *Ophichthus gomesii* presented the lowest value of b among all species ($b = 1.83$), which is probably explained by its elongated and longilíneo shape.

The relationships of allometry was discussed by Le Cren (1951) as the organism's ability to maintain the same form throughout the ontogenetic growth, being the isometry ($b = 3$) characteristic of a proportional and, theoretically, ideal growth fish. In this sense, lower or higher values the 3.0 is characteristic of individuals who, over the growth, become more longilíneos or round, respectively (Araújo & Vicentini 2001). This same author also states that "b" constant variation is possible between the thresholds of 2.0 to 4.0, and is a greater amplitude than those stated by Froese (2006).

However, any comparison involving weight and / or length relationships should be cautious,

since many factors, such as growth phase, stomach weight, gonads, maturity, sexual dimorphism, length frequency, health and conservation techniques can contribute to the great variability of recorded values (Tesch 1971, Ferraz & Giarrizzo 2015).

In coastal environments, it is expected that most species present positive isometric or allometric growth, since these environments are used by most of them as feeding and development areas (Ferraz & Giarrizzo 2015). This information corroborates our results, since 67.9% of the species presented these two types of growth.

The parameters collected in this study add the information obtained by several authors in Brazil, in the states of Pará (Giarrizzo et al., 2006; Ferraz & Giarrizzo 2015); Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo (Vianna et al. 2004, Franco et al. 2014, Albieri et al. 2014), Rio Grande do Sul (Haimovici & Velasco 2000), Argentina (Solari et al. 2016) and Uruguay (Segura et al. 2012, Gurdek & Acuña-Plavan 2013).

This work is the first to involve 53 species in the state of Santa Catarina and contributes to the knowledge of the ichthyofauna on the South American coast. In addition, the studied area is of environmental, social and economic interest, since it involves fishing exploration through trawling shrimp and can contribute to future work aimed at local fisheries management.

Acknowledgements

We thank CAPES (Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel) for the grant to the first author and Universidade do Vale do Itajaí (Univali – Itajaí – SC) for the support.

References

- Abdurahiman, K. P., Harishnayak, T., Zacharia, P. U. & Mohamed, K.S. 2004. Length-weight relationship of commercially important marine fishes and shellfishes of the southern coast of Karnataka, Índia. *NAGA, Worldfish Center Quarterly* Vol. 27 No. 1 & 2 Jan-Jun, 9-14.
- Albieri, R. J., Costa, M. R., Santos, A. B. I., Albieri, R. C., & Araújo, F.G. 2014. Weight-length relationships of 22 fish species from Paraíba do Sul River in Rio de Janeiro State, southeastern Brazil. *Journal of applied ichthyology*, 30(2), 431-433.
- Araújo, F. G., & Vicentini, R. N. 2001. Relação peso-comprimento da corvina *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest) (Pisces, Sciaenidae) na Baía de Sepetiba, Rio de Janeiro. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia*, 18(1), 133-138.

- Branco, J.O. 2005. Biologia e pesca do camarão setebarras *Xiphopenaeus kroyeri* (Heller, 1862) (Crustacea, Penaeidae), na Armação do Itapocoroy, Penha, SC. **Revista Brasileira de Zoologia**, Curitiba, PR. 22 (4): 1050-1062.
- Ferraz, D. & Giarrizzo, T. Relações peso-comprimento e comprimento-comprimento para 37 espécies de peixes demersais do canal principal do rio Marapanim, costa nordeste do estado do Pará, Brasil. **Biota Amazônia (Biote Amazonie, Biota Amazonia, Amazonian Biota)**, v. 5, n. 3, p. 78-82
- Figueiredo, J.L. & Menezes, N. 1978. **Manual de peixes marinhos do Sudeste do Brasil. II. Teleostei (1)**. São Paulo, Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, 110p.
- Figueiredo, J.L. & Menezes, N. 1980. **Manual de peixes marinhos do sudeste do Brasil. III. Teleostei (2)**. São Paulo, Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, 90p
- Franco, T. P., Araújo, C. E. O., & Araújo, F.G. 2014. Length-weight relationships for 25 fish species from three coastal lagoons in Southeastern Brazil. **Journal of Applied Ichthyology** 30.1: 248-250.
- Froese, R. & Pauly, D. **FishBase**. 2017. Accessible at <http://www.fishbase.org> (Accessed 12/19/2017).
- Froese, R. 2006. Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: history, meta-analysis and recommendations. **Journal of Applied Ichthyology**, 22, n. 4, p. 241-253.
- Giarrizzo, T., Silva-de-Jesus, A.J., Lameira, E.C., Araújo-de-Almeida, J.B., Issac, V. & Saint-Paul, U. 2006. Weight-length relationships for intertidal fish fauna in a mangrove estuary in Northern Brazil. **Journal of Applied Ichthyology**, 22: 325–327.
- Gurdek, R & Acuña-Plavan, A. 2013. Weight-length relationships of 12 fish species from the Pando tidal creek estuary (subsystem of the Río de la Plata, Uruguay). Technical contribution. **Journal of Applied Ichthyology**, 1–2
- Haimovici, M. & Velasco, G. 2000. Length-weight relationships of marine fishes from southern Brazil. **Fishbyte** 23, 19–23.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper D. A. T. & Ryan, P.D. 2001 PAST: Palaeontological Statistics software package for education and data analysis. **Palaeontologia Electronica** 4(1): 9 pp.
- Ismen, A., Ozen, O., Altinagac, U., Ozekinci, U., & Ayaz, A. 2007. Weight-length relationships of 63 fish species in Saros Bay, Turkey. **Journal of Applied Ichthyology**, 23(6), 707-708
- Kelleher, K. 2005. Discards in the world's marine fisheries. An update. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 470. **FAO**, Rome: 131 pp.
- Lasta, C.A. 1995. La Bahía Samborombón: zona de desove y cría de peces- La Plata, Argentina. 304p. **(Disertación de Tesis Doctoral)**, Universidad Nacional de La Plata.
- Le Cren, C. P. 1951. Length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the Perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). **Journal of Animal Ecology**, 20(2): 201-219.
- Menezes, N. & Figueiredo J.L. 1980. **Manual de peixes marinhos do Sudeste do Brasil. IV. Teleostei (3)**. São Paulo, Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, 96p.
- Menezes, N. & Figueiredo J.L. 1985. **Manual de peixes marinhos do Sudeste do Brasil. V. Teleostei (4)**. São Paulo, Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, 105p.
- Ricker, W. E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. **Bulletin Fisheries Research Board of Canada** 191:382 p.
- Segura, A. M., Trinchin, R., Rabellino, J., Scarabino, F., Teixeira de Mello, F. & Carranza, A. 2012. Length-weight relationships of 14 coastal fish species from Punta del Diablo (Rocha, Uruguay). **Journal of Applied Ichthyology**, v. 28, n. 5, p. 852-853.
- Solari, A., Jaureguizar, A.J., Milessi, A. C., García, M. L. & Maiztegui, T. 2016. Length-weight relationships of 29 species of teleost fish from shallow estuarine environment of Samborombon bay (Rio de la plata, Argentina). **Boletim Instituto de Pesca**, São Paulo, 42(1): 236–240, 2016 Doi: 10.5007/1678-2305.2016v42n1p236.
- Tesch, F. W. 1971. Age and growth. In: Methods for Assessment of Fish production in Fresh Waters. W. E. Ricker (Ed.), **Blackwell Scientific Publications**, Oxford. 99-130 pp,
- Vianna, M., Costa F. E. S. & Ferreira, C.N. 2004. Length-weight relationship of fish caught as by-catch by shrimp fishery in the southeastern coast of Brazil." **Boletim do Instituto de Pesca**, São Paulo 30.1: 81-85.

Received: November 2017

Accepted: December 2018

Published: May 2018